

1 SCOTT H. McNUTT (CSBN 104696)  
2 324 Warren Road  
3 San Mateo, California 94402  
4 Telephone: (415) 760-5601  
5 Email: [shm@ml-sf.com](mailto:shm@ml-sf.com)

6  
7  
8 Proposed Attorney for Fee Examiner  
9  
10

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re  
PG&E CORPORATION,  
- and -

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC  
COMPANY,  
Debtors.

Affects PG&E Corporation  
 Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
 Affects both Debtors

\* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case,  
No. 19-30088 (DM)

Bankruptcy Case  
No. 19-30088 (DM)  
(Lead Case)  
(Jointly Administered)

Chapter 11

**DECLARATION OF SCOTT H. McNUTT  
IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR  
ORDER AUTHORIZING EMPLOYMENT  
OF SCOTT H. McNUTT AS COUNSEL  
TO FEE EXAMINER**

Judge: Hon. Dennis Montali

I, Scott H. McNutt, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law, licensed by and in good standing with the Bar of this State, and admitted to practice before this Court. I practice law as a sole practitioner (my practice is referred to herein as "McNutt"), and in that capacity am proposed counsel to Bruce A. Markell, the duly appointed fee examiner (the "Fee Examiner") in these jointly administered Chapter 11 reorganization cases of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (collectively, the "Debtors"). In such capacity, and except as otherwise indicated herein, I have personal

1 knowledge of the facts set forth below, and if called as a witness I could and would competently  
2 testify to the matters set forth in this declaration.

3       2. I hereby submit this declaration in support of the application by the Fee Examiner  
4 (the “Employment Application”) for entry of an order authorizing the employment of McNutt as  
5 the Fee Examiner’s counsel pursuant to Sections 105(a) and 327(a) of Title 11 of the United States  
6 Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 2014(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure  
7 (the “Bankruptcy Rules”). The following constitutes the statement required by Sections 327 and  
8 504 of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 2014(a) of the Bankruptcy Rules.

9       3. The Fee Examiner seeks to employ McNutt as counsel in the jointly administered  
10 Chapter 11 case effective as of April 12, 2019, the date the Office of the United States Trustee (the  
11 “U.S. Trustee”) filed its MOTION OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE FOR ORDER APPOINTING FEE  
12 EXAMINER AND ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR CONSIDERATION OF REQUESTED COMPENSATION  
13 AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES [Docket No. 1370] (the “Fee Examiner Motion”).

14       4. The proposed employment of McNutt in this matter is as a sole practitioner. In the  
15 course of the representation, I anticipate that I may associate other attorneys or paraprofessionals  
16 with my practice on a contract basis, for purposes of providing efficient and timely service to the  
17 Fee Examiner. Any contract attorneys I employ will be hired and compensated by McNutt strictly  
18 on an hourly basis to be paid exclusively by McNutt. McNutt will not seek to compensate an  
19 associated attorneys or paralegals based on a contingency or other sharing of fees received from  
20 the PG&E estate. To the extent that I associate any contract attorney or attorneys for this matter, a  
21 supplemental declaration disclosing their background, disinterestedness, and any connections will  
22 be filed.

23       5. References to “McNutt” herein are to the McNutt practice, including any other  
24 attorney’s or paraprofessionals whose time may be billed to this matter.

25       6. My background and experience of is brief described in the biography attached as  
26 Exhibit A hereto.

27       7. McNutt will charge for services in this matter on an hourly rate basis. As a general  
28 rule, McNutt’s billing practices are identical for bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy clients. The

1 hourly rates on which McNutt's fees are based are reflective of the services it is expected to  
2 provide, but are generally identical for bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy clients. The costs charged  
3 to bankruptcy clients when paid from a bankruptcy estate are either identical to or less than the  
4 costs charged to non-bankruptcy clients in comparable matters.

5       8.       McNutt will comply with all applicable orders and guidelines in this case, including  
6 this Court's ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 331 AND 105(A) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2016 FOR  
7 AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH PROCEDURES FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF  
8 EXPENSES OF PROFESSIONALS [Docket No. 701]; the Fee Examiner Order; and all protocols or  
9 procedures promulgated by the Fee Examiner.

10      9.       I have not shared nor agreed to share any compensation paid or payable by the  
11 bankruptcy Estates with any other entity. I expect to compensate contract attorneys or paralegals  
12 associated for this matter on an hourly basis for work performed, however, I do not believe that  
13 this qualifies as an agreement to share compensation.

14      10.      I have undertaken a review of the "PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric  
15 Company Retention Checklist" prepared by Debtor's counsel to determine potential conflicts, and  
16 attached as Schedule 1 to the Declaration of Cecily Dumas filed on March 17, 2019 [Docket No.  
17 935], to determine whether I have had any relationship with any listed party. Based upon this  
18 review, I have determined that my practice does not hold or represent any interest adverse to the  
19 Debtors' estates. Moreover, except as specifically disclosed below, I have determined that my  
20 practice has no connection with the Debtors, their principal creditors, equity security holders, or  
21 any other parties-in-interest, or their respective attorneys and accountants, or the U.S. Trustee or  
22 any person employed in the office of the United States Trustee. Finally, I do not believe that any  
23 grounds exist that would make employment of the myself or any associated attorneys improper  
24 under Rule 5002(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

25      11.      I believe that the matters set forth below may be deemed "connections" that are  
26 required to be disclosed under Rule 2014(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. In  
27 addition, in the interest of transparency, certain matters that may not rise to the level of  
28 "connections" for purposes of Rule 2014(a) are also disclosed as follows.

1 (a) I previously represented Pacific Gas and Electric Company in  
2 approximately five bankruptcy matters involving power purchase agreements. These  
3 representations were while I was affiliated with a prior firm, Severson & Werson, P.C., and were  
4 concluded more than twenty years ago. I have not represented the Debtors or any affiliates of the  
5 Debtors at any time in the past twenty years.

6 (b) My law partner at my prior firm McNutt Law Group, LLP, previously  
7 McNutt & Litteneker, LLP was Rebecca Litteneker. Ms. Litteneker's husband was, and may still  
8 be, employed as in-house counsel at Pacific Gas and Electric Company. My professional  
9 association with Ms. Litteneker ended in 2009, when she retired from her partnership in McNutt &  
10 Litteneker, LLP.

11 (c) The Debtors have been utility providers to many Chapter 11 debtors that I  
12 have represented at prior firms.

13 (d) I have or have previously had personal checking accounts and credit cards  
14 at various financial institutions on the list.

15 (e) I have appeared before all of the judges in the Northern District, and have  
16 participated in professional panels or presentations with a number of the Northern District judges,  
17 and employees of the Office of the United States Trustee.

18 (f) In prior matters, I have appeared on behalf of clients adverse to clients  
19 represented by a number of listed Debtors' Professionals, Non-Debtors Professionals and Ordinary  
20 Course Professionals.

1 outstanding amounts to Mr. Rupp as funds are available. I believe that Mr. Rupp is owed  
2 approximately four weeks' wages.

3 (h) I teach corporate reorganizations as an adjunct professor at the University of  
4 California, Davis School of Law. One of my previous students, Trevor Fehr, is now employed at  
5 the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 17. It is my understanding that he works out of  
6 the San Francisco and San Jose offices. I provided a recommendation for Mr. Fehr in connection  
7 with his application to the U.S. Trustee.

8 (i) I know and socialize with Barry Lawson Williams in a personal capacity.

9 (j) I believe that I have had personal and professional policies that were  
10 underwritten by a number of listed insurers. I have in the past represented clients under policies  
11 underwritten by Lloyds of London.

12 (k) There is a Scott McNutt listed as a landlord or lease counterparty. I do not  
13 have any lease or contract relationship with the Debtors. I believe this is another person of the  
14 same name.

15 (l) At my prior firm I represented a client who had an indirect interest in real  
16 property that was destroyed in the October 2017 Sonoma County fires, and provided limited  
17 advice regarding potential claims against the Debtors in connection with general insolvency  
18 advice. I did not represent this former client in asserting any such claims, and I no longer represent  
19 this client. The name of the client is not disclosed here for attorney-client confidentiality reasons.

20 (m) I may have represented in unrelated matters other clients who may have or  
21 believe they have claims against the Debtors. I have not, and do not, represent them regarding  
22 those claims.

23 (n) In prior matters I have represented clients adverse to parties represented by  
24 a number of law firms listed.

25 (a) I was an associate at Sidley Austin, in the Los Angeles office, from  
26 approximately 1986 to 1989.

27 (b) Many of the taxing authorities listed have been creditors in bankruptcy  
28 estates that I have represented at prior firms.

1 (c) Citicbank N.A. was a client of my prior firm, McNutt Law Group, LLP, on  
2 an unrelated matter. That representation concluded more than three years ago.

3 (d) It is possible that I may have represented or been adverse to listed vendors,  
4 potential litigation claimants, or other creditors in this case while at previous firms. Based on my  
5 review, I do not believe there are any such relationships within the past three years, except as  
6 disclosed specifically above.

7       2. The following information is provided in response to the request for additional  
8 information set forth in Paragraph D.1. of the Appendix B—Guidelines for Reviewing  
9 Applications for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Under 11 U.S.C. § 330 by  
10 Attorneys in Larger Chapter 11 Cases, effective as of November 1, 2013 (the “Revised UST  
11 Guidelines”):

12 Question: Did you agree to any variations from, or alternatives to, your standard  
13 or customary billing arrangements for this engagement?

## 14 || Response: No.

15 Question: Do any of the professionals included in this engagement vary their rate  
16 based on the geographic locations of the bankruptcy case?

## 17 || Response: No.

18      Question: If you represented the client in the 12 months prepetition, disclose your  
19                    billing rates and material financial terms for the prepetition  
20                    engagement, including any adjustments during the 12 months  
21                    prepetition. If your billing rates and material financial terms have  
22                    changed postpetition, explain the difference and the reasons for the  
23                    difference.

24 Response: McNutt did not represent the Fee Examiner or the Debtors prior to the  
25 commencement of these cases.

26 Question: Has your client approved your prospective budget and staffing plan,  
27 and if so for what budget period.

1 Response: McNutt has reviewed expectations regarding staffing and budget with  
2 the Fee Examiner, and the Fee Examiner has tentatively approved  
3 McNutt's staffing and budget expectations. McNutt expects to prepare  
4 a more detailed budget for approval by the Fee Examiner in compliance  
5 with the protocols to be promulgated by the Fee Examiner for  
6 professionals in these cases. McNutt understands that the Fee Examiner  
7 will maintain active oversight of McNutt's billing practices, which will  
8 be in compliance with the Fee Examiner's protocols.

9  
10 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the  
11 State of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.  
12 Executed this 17th day of June, 2019, at San Mateo, California.

13  
14 */s/ Scott H. McNutt*  
15 Scott H. McNutt